## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

_		-	,,	_	**	_
IJ.	А	٠,	,	U	п	u

CASE NO. 105 CV 049741

Plaintiff(s),

v. ERNST & YOUNG, LLP STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Defendant(s).

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

## Court Processes:

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Purites who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

## Private Process:

Private ADR (please identify process and provider) Mediation; provider to be determined

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)

other requested deadline July 21, 2006

Dated: 4/26 (06

Dated: 4/26/06

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

[PROPOSED]	ORDER
------------	-------

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to: Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other July 21, 2006

TT	TC	$c \sim$	$\Delta DI$	DER	

Dated:	 

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE